arXiv:1110.0299v1 [math.CA] 3 Oct 2011

On an Interesting Class of Variable Exponents

Alexei Yu. Karlovich and Ilya M. Spitkovsky

To Professor Stefan Samko on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the class of functions $p : \mathbb{R}^n \to [1, \infty]$ bounded away from one and infinity and such that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is bounded on the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the class of variable exponents $p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $1/p(x) = \theta/p_0 + (1-\theta)/p_1(x)$ with some $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and $p_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Rabinovich and Samko [13] observed that each globally log-Hölder continuous exponent belongs to $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We show that the class $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ contains many interesting exponents beyond the class of globally log-Hölder continuous exponents.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 42B25; Secondary 46E30, 26A16.

Keywords. Variable Lebesgue space, variable exponent, globally log-Hölder continuous function, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

1. Introduction

Let $p : \mathbb{R}^n \to [1, \infty]$ be a measurable a.e. finite function. By $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the set of all complex-valued functions f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$I_{p(\cdot)}(f/\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)/\lambda|^{p(x)} dx < \infty$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. This set becomes a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : I_{p(\cdot)}(f/\lambda) \le 1 \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that if p is constant, then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is nothing but the standard Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is referred to as a *variable Lebesgue space*. We will always suppose that

$$1 < p_- := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x), \quad \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) =: p_+ < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

Under these conditions, the space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is separable and reflexive, and its dual is isomorphic to $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$1/p(x) + 1/p'(x) = 1 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

(see e.g. [5, Chap. 3]).

Given $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is defined by

$$Mf(x) := \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing x (here, and throughout, cubes will be assumed to have their sides parallel to the coordinate axes). By $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of all measurable functions $p : \mathbb{R}^n \to [1, \infty]$ such that (1.1) holds and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Assume that (1.1) is fulfilled. L. Diening [4] proved that if p satisfies

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \le \frac{c}{\log(e + 1/|x-y|)} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n) \tag{1.2}$$

and p is constant outside some ball, then $p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Further, the behavior of p at infinity was relaxed by D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, and C. Neugebauer [2, 3], who showed that if p satisfies (1.2) and there exists a $p_\infty > 1$ such that

$$|p(x) - p_\infty| \le \frac{c}{\log(e + |x|)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n), \tag{1.3}$$

then $p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Following [5, Section 4.1], we will say that if conditions (1.2)–(1.3) are fulfilled, then p is *globally log-Hölder continuous.*

A. Nekvinda [11, 12] relaxed condition (1.3). To formulate his results, we will need the notion of iterated logarithms. Put

$$e_0 := 1, \quad e_{k+1} := \exp(e_k) \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

The function $\log_k x$ is defined on the interval (e_k, ∞) by

$$\log_0 x := x, \quad \log_{k+1} x := \log(\log_k x) \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

For $\alpha > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, put

$$b_{k,\alpha}(x) := -\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{d}{dx} (\log_k^{-\alpha} x) \quad (x \ge e_k).$$

We say that a measurable function $p : \mathbb{R}^n \to [1, \infty]$ belongs to the Nekvinda class $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if conditions (1.1)–(1.2) are fulfilled and there exists a monotone function $s : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$ satisfying

$$1 < \inf_{x \in [0,\infty)} s(x), \qquad \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} s(x) < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

and such that for some $K > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{ds}{dx}(x) \right| \le K b_{k,\alpha}(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \ge e_k, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n: p(x)\neq s(|x|)\}} c^{1/|p(x)-s(|x|)|}dx < \infty \tag{1.6}$$

for some $c > 0$. According to [12, Theorem 2.2], $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, all locally log-Hölder continuous (that is, satisfying (1.2)) radially monotone exponents $p(x) = s(|x|)$ with monotone s satisfying (1.4)–(1.5) belong to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Observe, however, that A. Lerner [10] (see also [5, Example 5.1.8]) constructed exponents p discontinuous at zero or at infinity and such that, nevertheless, p belong to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus neither (1.2) nor (1.3) is necessary for $p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For more informastion on the class $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we refer to [5, Chaps. 4–5].

Finally, we note that V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko [8, 9]; V. Kokilashvili, N. Samko, and S. Samko [7]; D. Cruz-Uribe, L. Diening, and P. Hästö [1] studied the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on variable Lebesgue spaces with weights under assumptions (1.1)–(1.3) or their analogues in the case of metric measure spaces.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the collection of all variable exponents $p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which there exist constants $p_0 \in (1,\infty)$, $\theta \in (0,1)$, and a variable exponent $p_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p(x)} = \frac{\theta}{p_0} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_1(x)} \tag{1.7}$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

This class implicitly appeared in V. Rabinovich and S. Samko's paper [13] (see also [14]). Its introduction is motivated by the fact that the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies the boundedness of many important linear operators on $L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see e.g. [5, Chap. 6]). If such a linear operator is also compact on the standard Lebesgue space $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then, by a Krasnoselskii type interpolation theorem for variable Lebesgue spaces, it is compact on the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as well.

In [13, Theorem 5.1], the boundedness of the pseudodifferential operators with symbols in the Hörmander class $S^0_{1,0}$ on the variable Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was established, provided that p satisfies (1.1)–(1.3). Then the above interpolation argument was used in the proof of [13, Theorem 6.1] to study the Fredholmness of pseudodifferential operators with slowly oscillating symbols on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, the following is implicitly contained in the proof of [13, Theorem 6.1].

Theorem 1.1 (V. Rabinovich-S. Samko). *If* $p : \mathbb{R}^n \to [1,\infty]$ *satisfies* (1.1)–(1.3), *then* p *belongs to* $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Recently we generalized [13, Theorem 5.1] and proved that the pseudodifferential operators with symbols in the Hörmander class $S^{n(\rho-1)}_{\rho,\delta}$, where $0 \le \delta < 1$ and $0 < \rho \le 1$, are bounded on variable Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever $p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [6, Theorem 1.2]). Further, [6, Theorem 1.3] delivers a sufficient condition for the Fredholmness of pseudodifferential operators with slowly oscillating symbols in the Hörmander class $S^0_{1,0}$ under the assumption that $p \in \mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The proof follows the same lines as V. Rabinovich and S. Samko's proof of [13, Theorem 6.1] for exponents satisfying (1.1)–(1.3) and is based on the above mentioned interpolation argument.

The aim of this paper is to show that the class $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is much larger than the class of globally log-Hölder continuous exponents. Our first result says that all Nekvinda's exponents belong to $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.2. *We have* $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Modifying A. Lerner's example [10], we further prove that there are exponents in $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that do not satisfy (1.3).

Theorem 1.3. *There exists a sufficiently small* $\varepsilon > 0$ *such that for every* α, β *satisfying* $0 < \beta < \alpha \le \varepsilon$ *the function*

$$p(x) = 2 + \alpha + \beta \sin\big(\log(\log|x|)\chi_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x|\ge e\}}(x)\big) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The paper is organized as follows. For completeness, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 2.1. Further, in Section 2.2 we prove Theorem 1.2. Section 3.1 contains A. Lerner's sufficient condition for $2 + q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of mean oscillations of a function q. In Section 3.2 we show that Theorem 1.3 follows from the results of Section 3.1.

2. Nekvinda's exponents

2.1. Globally log-Hölder continuous exponents are contained in $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this subsection we give a proof of Theorem 1.1. A part of this proof will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in the next subsection.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose p satisfies (1.1)–(1.3). Let $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and p_1 be such that (1.7) holds. Then

$$p_1(x) = \frac{p_0(1-\theta)p(x)}{p_0 - \theta p(x)}. \tag{2.1}$$

If we choose $p_0 \ge p_+$, then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$1 < p_0 - \theta p_+ \le p_0 - \theta p(x) \le p_0 - \theta p_- < p_0. \tag{2.2}$$

Therefore

$$(1-\theta)p_- \le (1-\theta)p(x) \le p_1(x) \le p_0(1-\theta)p(x) \le p_0(1-\theta)p_+.$$

Hence $(1-\theta)p_- \le (p_1)_-$ and $(p_1)_+ \le p_0(1-\theta)p_+ < \infty$. If we choose θ such that $\theta \in (0, 1 - 1/p_-)$, then $1 < (p_1)_-$ and thus p_1 satisfies (1.1).

From (2.1) it follows that

$$p_1(x) - p_1(y) = \frac{p_0^2(1-\theta)(p(x) - p(y))}{(p_0 - \theta p(x))(p_0 - \theta p(y))} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then, taking into account (2.2), we get

$$|p_1(x) - p_1(y)| \le p_0^2(1-\theta)|p(x) - p(y)| \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.3}$$

Now put

$$(p_1)_\infty := \frac{p_0(1-\theta)p_\infty}{p_0 - \theta p_\infty},$$

where p_∞ is the constant from (1.3). Then

$$p_1(x) - (p_1)_\infty = \frac{p_0^2(1-\theta)(p(x) - p_\infty)}{(p_0 - \theta p(x))(p_0 - \theta p_\infty)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.4}$$

From (1.3) it follows that

$$p_\infty = \lim_{|x|\to\infty} p(x).$$

Hence $p_\infty \in [p_-, p_+]$. Therefore

$$1 < p_0 - \theta p_+ \le p_0 - \theta p_\infty \le p_0 - \theta p_- < p_0. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain

$$|p_1(x) - (p_1)_\infty| \le p_0^2(1-\theta)|p(x) - p_\infty|. \tag{2.6}$$

From estimates (2.3), (2.6) and (1.2), (1.3) for the exponent p we obtain that the exponent p_1 satisfies (1.2) and (1.3). Therefore $p_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus p belongs to $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$. ☐

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Suppose $p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and p_1 be such that (1.7) holds. In the previous subsection we proved that if $p_0 \ge p_+$ and $\theta \in (0, 1 - 1/p_-)$, then p_1 satisfies (1.1)–(1.2).

Since $p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a monotone function $s : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$ such that (1.4)–(1.6) are fulfilled. Let

$$s_1(x) := \frac{p_0(1-\theta)s(x)}{p_0 - \theta s(x)} \quad (x \ge 0). \tag{2.7}$$

Put

$$s_- := \inf_{x\in[0,\infty)} s(x), \quad s_+ := \sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} s(x). \tag{2.8}$$

We will choose p_0 and θ subject to

$$p_0 \ge \max\{p_+, s_+\}, \quad \theta \in (0, \min\{1 - 1/p_-, 1 - 1/s_-\}).$$

Then, for $x \in [0, \infty)$,

$$1 < p_0 - \theta s_+ \le p_0 - \theta s(x) \le p_0 - \theta s_- < p_0. \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, for $x \in [0, \infty)$,

$$(1-\theta)s_- \le (1-\theta)s(x) \le s_1(x) \le p_0(1-\theta)s(x) \le p_0(1-\theta)s_+$$

and

$$1 < (1-\theta)s_- \le (s_1)_-, \quad (s_1)_+ \le p_0(1-\theta)s_+ < \infty, \tag{2.10}$$

where $(s_1)_-$ and $(s_1)_+$ are defined by (2.8) with s_1 in place of s.

If $s(x) \le s(y)$ for $x, y \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$p_0 - \theta s(x) \ge p_0 - \theta s(y), \quad p_0(1-\theta)s(x) \le p_0(1-\theta)s(y).$$

Thus

$$s_1(x) = \frac{p_0(1-\theta)s(x)}{p_0 - \theta s(x)} \le \frac{p_0(1-\theta)s(y)}{p_0 - \theta s(y)} = s_1(y),$$

that is, s_1 is monotone.

It is easy to see that for almost all $x > 0$,

$$\frac{ds_1}{dx}(x) = \frac{p_0^2(1-\theta)}{(p_0 - \theta s(x))^2} \, \frac{ds}{dx}(x).$$

Taking into account (2.9), we obtain

$$\left|\frac{ds_1}{dx}(x)\right| \le p_0^2 \left|\frac{ds}{dx}(x)\right| \quad (x > 0). \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.1) and (2.7) we get

$$E := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : p(x) \ne s(|x|)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : p_1(x) \ne s_1(|x|)\}$$

and for $x \in E$,

$$p_1(x) - s_1(|x|) = \frac{p_0^2(1-\theta)(p(x) - s(|x|))}{(p_0 - \theta p(x))(p_0 - \theta s(|x|))}.$$

From this equality and inequalities (2.2) and (2.9) we get for $x \in E$,

$$(1-\theta)|p(x) - s(|x|)| \le |p_1(x) - s_1(|x|)| \le p_0^2(1-\theta)|p(x) - s(|x|)|.$$

Therefore, there is a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\int_E c^{1/|p_1(x) - s_1(|x|)|}\, dx \le M \int_E c^{1/|p(x) - s(|x|)|}\, dx. \tag{2.12}$$

Since s satisfies (1.4)–(1.6), from (2.10)–(2.12) it follows that s_1 satisfies (1.4)–(1.6), too. Thus $p_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By [12, Theorem 2.2], $p_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which finishes the proof of $p \in \mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

3. Lerner's exponents

3.1. Sufficient condition for $2 + q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, put

$$f_Q := \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(x)dx.$$

We recall that the mean oscillation of f over a cube Q is given by

$$\Omega(f, Q) := \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q| dx.$$

Lemma 3.1. *If* $F\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a Lipschitz function with the Lipschitz constant* c *and* $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is a real-valued function, then for every* $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$*,*

$$\Omega(F \circ f, Q) \le 2c\Omega(f, Q).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\Omega(f, Q) \le \frac{1}{|Q|^2} \int_Q \int_Q |f(x) - f(y)| dx\, dy \le 2\Omega(f, Q).$$

From this estimate we immediately get the statement. □

Given any cube Q, let

$$\ell(Q) := \log(e + \max\{|Q|, |Q|^{-1}, |\operatorname{cen}_Q|\}),$$

where cen_Q is the center of Q.

Lemma 3.2 (see [10, Proposition 4.2]**).** *If*

$$L(x) := \log(\log|x|)\chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \ge e\}}(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$

then

$$\sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(L, Q) < \infty.$$

Theorem 3.3 (see [10, Theorem 1.2]**).** *There is a positive constant* μ_n*, depending only on* n*, such that for any measurable function* $q : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ *with*

$$0 < q_- := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} q(x), \quad \|q\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(q, Q) \le \mu_n,$$

we have $2 + q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*.*

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let the function L be as in Lemma 3.2. Suppose $\alpha > \beta > 0$ and put

$$F(x) := \alpha + \beta \sin x \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$q(y) := F(L(y)), \quad p(y) := 2 + q(y) \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then

$$q_- = \alpha - \beta > 0, \quad \|q\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \alpha + \beta < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

From Lemma 3.2 we know that

$$C_L := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(L, Q) < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Since F is a Lipschitz function with the Lipschitz constant equal to β, we obtain from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(q, Q) &= \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(F \circ L, Q) \\ &\le 2\beta \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(L, Q) = 2\beta C_L. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.1), (3.3), and $\beta < \alpha$ it follows that

$$\|q\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(q,Q) \le \alpha + \beta + 2\beta C_L < 2\alpha(1 + C_L). \tag{3.4}$$

Fix $\theta \in (0,1)$ and take the function G such that

$$\frac{1}{2+F(x)} = \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1-\theta}{2+G(x)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2+G(x)} = \frac{1}{1-\theta}\left(\frac{1}{2+F(x)} - \frac{\theta}{2}\right) = \frac{2-\theta(2+F(x))}{2(1-\theta)(2+F(x))}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \frac{2(1-\theta)(2+F(x))}{2-\theta(2+F(x))} - 2 \\ &= \frac{2(1-\theta)(2+F(x)) - 4 + 2\theta(2+F(x))}{2-\theta(2+F(x))} \\ &= \frac{2(2+F(x)) - 4}{2-\theta(2+F(x))} \\ &= \frac{2F(x)}{2-\theta(2+F(x))} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G'(x) = \frac{2F'(x)(2-2\theta-\theta F(x)) + 2\theta F(x)F'(x)}{[2-\theta(2+F(x))]^2} = \frac{4(1-\theta)F'(x)}{[2-\theta(2+F(x))]^2}$$

Since $\alpha > \beta > 0$, we have $F(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and then

$$2 - 2\theta - \theta F(x) < 2. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence

$$G(x) = \frac{2F(x)}{2-2\theta-\theta F(x)} > F(x).$$

If we take $\theta = 1/(2+\alpha+\beta)$, then $\theta(2+F(x)) \le \theta(2+\alpha+\beta) = 1$. Therefore $2 - \theta(2+F(x)) \ge 2 - 1 = 1$. Hence

$$G(x) = \frac{2F(x)}{2-2\theta-\theta F(x)} \le 2F(x) \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$|G'(x)| = \frac{4(1-\theta)|F'(x)|}{[2-2\theta-\theta F(x)]^2} \le 4(1-\theta)|F'(x)| < 4|F'(x)|. \tag{3.7}$$

Thus G is Lipschitz with the Lipschitz constant equal to 4β.

Put $q_1(y) := G(L(y))$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then from (3.5)–(3.6) it follows that

$$(q_1)_- \ge \alpha - \beta > 0, \quad \|q_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le 2(\alpha+\beta). \tag{3.8}$$

Further, from (3.7) and Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(q_1,Q) = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(G \circ L, Q) \le 8\beta C_L. \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.8)–(3.9) and $\beta < \alpha$ we deduce

$$\|q_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{Q\subset\mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(q_1,\Omega) \le 2(\alpha+\beta) + 8\beta C_L < 8\alpha(1+C_L). \tag{3.10}$$

Let μ_n be the constant from Theorem 3.3. Put

$$\varepsilon := \frac{\mu_n}{8(1+C_L)}.$$

If $0 < \beta < \alpha \le \varepsilon$, then from (3.1), (3.4) and (3.8), (3.10) it follows that $q_- > 0$, $(q_1)_- > 0$, and

$$\|q\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{Q\subset\mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(q,\Omega) \le \mu_n, \quad \|q_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{Q\subset\mathbb{R}^n} \ell(Q)\Omega(q_1,\Omega) \le \mu_n.$$

By Theorem 3.3, $p := 2 + q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p_1 := 2 + q_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, (1.7) holds with $\theta = 1/(2+\alpha+\beta)$, $p, p_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p_0 = 2$. Thus $p \in \mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

References

[1] D. Cruz-Uribe, L. Diening, and P. Hästö, *The maximal operator on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces.* Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal. **14** (2011), 361–374.

[2] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, and C. J. Neugebauer, *The maximal function on variable L^p spaces.* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **28** (2003), 223-238.

[3] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, and C. J. Neugebauer, *Corrections to: "The maximal function on variable L^p spaces".* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **29** (2004), 247-249.

[4] L. Diening, *Maximal function on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$.* Math. Inequal. Appl. **7** (2004), 245-253.

[5] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Růžička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **2017**, 2011.

[6] A. Yu. Karlovich and I. M. Spitkovsky, *Pseudodifferential operators on variable Lebesgue spaces.* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, to appear.

[7] V. Kokilashvili, N. Samko, and S. Samko, *The maximal operator in variable spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega,\rho)$ with oscillating weights.* Georgian Math. J. **13** (2006), 109-125.

[8] V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko, *Maximal and fractional operators in weighted $L^{p(x)}$ spaces.* Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **20** (2004), 493–515.

[9] V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko, *The maximal operator in weighted variable exponent spaces on metric spaces.* Georgian Math. J. **15** (2008), 683-712.

[10] A. K. Lerner, *Some remarks on the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on variable L^p spaces.* Math. Z. **251** (2005), no. 3, 509-521.

[11] A. Nekvinda, *Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.* Math. Inequal. Appl. **7** (2004), 255–265.

[12] A. Nekvinda, *Maximal operator on variable Lebesgue spaces for almost monotone radial exponent.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337** (2008), 1345-1365.

[13] V. Rabinovich and S. Samko, *Boundedness and Fredholmness of pseudodifferential operators in variable exponent spaces.* Integral Equations Operator Theory **60** (2008), 507–537.

[14] S. Samko, *On compactness of operators in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces.* In: "Topics in operator theory. Volume 1: Operators, matrices and analytic functions." Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **202** (2010), 497–508.

Alexei Yu. Karlovich
Departamento de Matemática
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia
Universidade Nova de Lisboa
Quinta da Torre
2829–516 Caparica
Portugal
e-mail: `oyk@fct.unl.pt`

Ilya M. Spitkovsky
Department of Mathematics
College of William & Mary
Williamsburg, VA, 23187-8795
U.S.A.
e-mail: `ilya@math.wm.edu`